

BioPharma Product Testing

# *In vitro* Skin Irritation: Human Skin Model Test (EpiDerm<sup>™</sup>)

with

# PC-BC01

### Report

Version: Final

Eurofins Munich Study No.: 187796

Sponsor: ProCell Therapeutics #1009, Ace-Twin Tower II, 273, Digital-ro, Guro-gu, Seoul, Korea

Eurofins BioPharma Product Testing Munich GmbH Behringstr. 6/8 D-82152 Planegg/Munich Germany



### 1. Copy of the GLP Certificate

Bayerisches Landesamt für Gesundheit und Lebensmittelsicherheit GLP-Bescheinigung/Statement of GLP Compliance (gemäß/according to § 19b Abs. 1 Chemikaliengesetz) Eine GLP-Inspektion zur Überwachung Assessment of conformity with GLP der Einhaltung der GLP-Grundsätze according to Chemikaliengesetz and Directive 2004/9/EC at: gemäß Chemikaliengesetz bzw. Richtlinie 2004/9/EG wurde durchgeführt in:  $\boxtimes$ Prüfeinrichtung/Test facility Prüfstandort/Test site **Eurofins BioPharma Product Testing Munich GmbH** Behringstraße 6-8 82152 Planegg (Unverwechselbare Bezeichnung und Adresse/Unequivocal name and address) Prüfungen nach Kategorien/Areas of Expertise (gemäß/according ChemVwV-GLP Nr. 5.3/OECD guidance) Kategorie 2 Category 2 Kategorie 3 Category 3 Kategorie 8 Category 8 Kategorie 9\* Category 9\* \*Sonstige Prüfungen: \*other tests: Biologische und mikrobiological and microbiological safety evaluation on medical biologische Sicherheitsprüfungen an Medizinprodukten und Arzneimitteln; devices and Auftragsarchivierung pharmaceuticals; contract archiving Datum der Inspektion/Date of Inspection (Tag.Monat.Jahr/day.month.year) 15.03.2018 Die/Der genannte Prüfeinrichtung/Prüfstandort The above mentioned test facility/test site is befindet sich im nationalen GLP-Überwachungsincluded in the national GLP Compliance verfahren und wird regelmäßig auf Einhaltung der Programme and is inspected on a regular basis. GLP-Grundsätze überwacht. Auf der Grundlage des Inspektionsberichtes wird Based on the inspection report it can be confirmed, hiermit bestätigt, dass in dieser Prüfeinrichtung/ that this test facility/test site is able to conduct the diesem Prüfstandort die oben genannten Prüfaforementioned studies in compliance with the ungen unter Einhaltung der GLP-Grundsätze Principles of GLP. durchgeführt werden können. Schwabach, 26.04.2018 Dr. Peter Franke Leiter der GLP-Landesleitstelle Bayern GLP- Landesleitstelle Bavern Bayerisches Landesamt für Gesundheit

QFB 61-01

und Lebensmittelsicherheit Rathausgasse 4 91126 Schwabach

### 2. Contents

		page
1. (	Copy of the GLP Certificate	2
2. (	Contents	3
3. I	list of Tables	4
4. F	Preface	5
4.1	. Abbreviations	5
4.2	. General	6
4.3	. Project Staff	6
_ 4.4	. Schedule	6
5. (	Quality Assurance	7
5.1	. GLP Compliance	7
5.2	. Guidelines	7
5.3	Archiving	8
6.	Statement of Compliance	9
7.	Statement of the Quality Assurance Unit	10
8. 3	Summary	11
8.1	. Summary Results	11
8.2		11
9. 1	ntroduction	12
9.1	. Aim of the Study	12
9.2	Justification for the Selection of the Test System	12
9.3	Justification for the Selection of the Test Method	12
10. 1	Materials and Methods	13
10.	1. Characterisation of the Test Item	13
10.	2. Preparation and Application of the Test Item	13
10.	3. Controis	13
10.	4. Dose Gloups	13
10.	5. Test System 6. Drovided Motoriala	14
10.	0. Plovided Materials	14
10.	7. Fullier Reagents	14
10.	6. Pre-Experiments	15
10.	9. Experimental Procedure	10
10.	10. Dala Allalysis 11. Teet Acceptones Criteria	10
11.	Deviations from the Study Plan	10
12 1	Posulte and Discussion	10
12.1	1 Regulte	18
12.	1. Nesulis 12.1.1 Dro Experimente	10
	2.1.1. The-Experiments	18
	213 Quality Criteria	10
	2.1.3. Quality Offena	19
12	2 Discussion	20
13 (	Conclusion	20
14 1	Distribution of the Report	21
15 6	References	23
15	1 Guidelines	23
15	2. Literature	23
15	3 Internal Eurofins Munich SOPs	23
16	Appendix	20
16	1. Appendix 1: EpiDerm™ Skin Tissue: Certificate of Analysis	24
16	2. Appendix 2: Certificate of Analysis	25
		20

## 3. List of Tables

		page
Table 1:	Prediction Model	16
Table 2:	Result of the Test Item PC-BC01	
Table 3:	Quality Criteria	19
Table 4:	Historical Data	19

## 4. Preface

#### 4.1. Abbreviations

Aqua dest.	Aqua destillata (distilled water)
Art.	Artikel <i>(article)</i>
BGBI.	Bundesgesetzblatt (Federal Law Gazette)
CV	Coefficient of variation
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium
DPBS	Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline
e.g.	exempli gratia <i>(for example)</i>
EC	European Commission
ECVAM	European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods
Eurofins Munich	Eurofins BioPharma Product Testing Munich GmbH
GLP	Good Laboratory Practice
GmbH	Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung (company with limited liability)
I	irritant
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
KT	test item treated killed tissues
KU	untreated killed tissues
MTT	3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide
NHEK	normal human epidermal keratinocytes
NI	non-irritant
NC	negative control of living tissues
No.	number
<b>NSC</b> living	non-specific colour of additional viable tissues
<b>NSC</b> <sub>killed</sub>	non-specific colour of additional killed tissues
NSMTT	non-specific reduction of MTT
OD	optical density
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PC	positive control
QA	Quality Assurance
QAU	Quality Assurance Unit
RhE	reconstructed human epidermis
SD	standard deviation
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulfate
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
ТКТ	additional test item treated killed tissue without MTT staining
ТМ	test item treated living tissues
TOD <sub>TT</sub>	true MTT metabolic conversion
TVT	additional test item treated living tissue without MTT staining
UN GHS	United Nations Globally Harmonized System on the Classification and Labelling of Chemicals

#### 4.2. General

Sponsor:	ProCell Therapeutics #1009, Ace-Twin Tower II, 273, Digital-ro, Guro-gu, Seoul, Korea
Study Monitor:	MyeongSeop Song Biotoxtech Co., Ltd. 53 Yeongudanji-ro, Ochang-eup, Cheongwon-gu, Cheongji-si, Chungcheongbuk-do Korea
Test Facility:	Eurofins BioPharma Product Testing Munich GmbH Behringstraße 6/8 82152 Planegg Germany
Eurofins Munich Study No.:	187796
Test Item:	PC-BC01
Title:	<i>In vitro</i> Skin Irritation: Human Skin Model Test (EpiDerm <sup>™</sup> ) with PC-BC01
4.3. Project Staff	
Study Director:	Dr. Helge Gehrke
Team Leader Operational QA GLP/GCP/ISO:	Uwe Hamann
4.4. Schedule	
Arrival of the Test Item: Study Initiation Date: Experimental Starting Date: Experimental Completion Date:	24 October 2018 13 November 2018 13 November 2018 07 December 2018

Experimental Completion Date:07 December 2018Study Completion Date:Date of the study director's signature

### 5. Quality Assurance

#### 5.1. GLP Compliance

This study was conducted to comply with:

Chemikaliengesetz ("Chemicals Act") of the Federal Republic of Germany, Appendix 1 to § 19a as amended and promulgated on July 18, 2017 (BGBI. I S. 2774) [1].

Konsens-Dokument der Bund-Länder-Arbeitsgruppe Gute Laborpraxis ("Consensus Document of the National and Länder Working Party on Good Laboratory Practice") on the archiving and storage of records and materials, 5 May 1998 [2].

OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (as revised in 1997); OECD Environmental Health and Safety Publications; Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring - Number 1. Environment Directorate, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris 1998 [3].

The OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice are accepted by regulatory authorities throughout the European Community, USA and Japan.

This study was assessed for compliance with the study plan and the Standard Operating Procedures of Eurofins Munich. The study and/or the test facility are inspected periodically by the Quality Assurance Unit according to the corresponding SOPs. These inspections and audits are carried out by the Quality Assurance Unit, personnel independent of staff involved in the study. A signed quality assurance statement, listing all performed audits, is included in the report.

#### 5.2. Guidelines

This study followed the procedures indicated by internal Eurofins Munich SOPs and the following internationally accepted guidelines and recommendations:

OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals No. 439: *In Vitro* Skin Irritation: Reconstructed Human *Epidermis* Test Method, 28 July 2015 [4].

Commission Regulation (EC) No. 640/2012, L 193, Part B.46. "*In vitro* Skin Irritation: Reconstructed Human Epidermis Test Method" 06-Jul-2012 [5].

MatTek Corporation Protocol for: *In Vitro* EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> Skin Irritation Test (EPI-200-SIT) For use with MatTek Corporation's Reconstructed Human Epidermal Model EpiDerm (EPI-200-SIT); Version 07-Nov-2014 [6].

#### 5.3. Archiving

For a period of 15 years (or shorter if in compliance with the GLP regulations) Eurofins Munich will store the records, materials and specimens in their scientific archives according to the GLP regulations.

The following records have to be stored according to the GLP regulations:

A copy of the final report, the study plan and documentation of all raw data generated during the conduct of the study (documentation forms as well as any other notes of raw data, printouts of instruments and computers) and the correspondence with the sponsor concerning the study. Any document relating to the study will be discarded only with the prior consent of the sponsor.

The following materials and samples have to be stored according to the period of time specified in the GLP regulations:

A retained sample of the test item will be archived according to the GLP regulations, if possible, and will be discarded without the sponsor's prior consent.

Other materials and specimens have to be stored according to the GLP regulations and disposed of after the respective archiving period with the sponsor's prior consent.

Unless otherwise agreed in writing, the remaining test item will be discarded three months after the release of the report.

#### 6. **Statement of Compliance**

Eurofins Munich	
Study No.:	187796
Test Item:	PC-BC01
Title:	<i>In vitro</i> Skin Irritation: Human Skin Model Test (EpiDerm <sup>™</sup> ) with PC-BC01
Study Director:	Dr. Helge Gehrke

This study performed in the test facility Eurofins Munich was conducted in compliance with Good Laboratory Practice Regulations:

Chemikaliengesetz ("Chemicals Act") of the Federal Republic of Germany, Appendix 1 to § 19a as amended and promulgated on July 18, 2017 (BGBI. | S. 2774) [1].

Konsens-Dokument der Bund-Länder-Arbeitsgruppe Gute Laborpraxis ("Consensus Document of the National and Länder Working Party on Good Laboratory Practice") on the archiving and storage of records and materials, 5 May 1998 [2].

"OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (as revised in 1997)", Paris 1998 [3].

There were no circumstances that may have affected the quality or integrity of the study.

Study Director:

Dr. Helge Gehøke Date: 20 91 cr 2013

#### Statement of the Quality Assurance Unit 7.

Eurofins Munich Study No.:	187796
Test Item:	PC-BC01
Title:	<i>In vitr</i> o Skin Irritation: Human Skin Model Test (EpiDerm <sup>™</sup> ) with PC-BC01
Study Director:	Dr. Helge Gehrke

This report and the conduct of this study were inspected by the Quality Assurance Unit on the following dates:

Phase of QAU Inspection	Date of QAU Inspection	Date of Reporting to the Study Director and Management 12 November 2018		
Audit Final Study Plan:	12 November 2018			
Audit Experimental Phase (process-based):	25 July 2018	25 July 2018		
Audit Final Report:	<b>1</b> 8 MAR 2019	1 8 MAR 2019		

This report reflects the raw data.

Member of the Quality Assurance Unit:

Daula	Özkau
Print Name:	Damla Özkan

### 8. Summary

#### 8.1. Summary Results

In the present study the skin irritant potential of PC-BC01 was analysed. The EpiDerm<sup>™</sup>-Standard Model (EPI-200<sup>™</sup>), a reconstituted three-dimensional human epidermis model, was used as a replacement for the Draize Skin Irritation Test (OECD TG 404, [7]) to distinguish between UN GHS "Category 2" skin irritating test substances and not categorized test substances ("No Category") which may be considered as non-irritant. Hereby, the test item was applied topically. Cytotoxicity is expressed as the reduction of mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity measured by formazan production from MTT after a 60 min exposure and 42 h post-incubation period and compared to those of the concurrent negative controls.

The mixture of 30  $\mu$ L test item per 1 mL MTT medium showed no reduction of MTT compared to the solvent. The mixture did not turn blue/purple. Therefore, NSMTT equalled 0%.

The mixture of  $30 \ \mu$ L of the test item per  $300 \ \mu$ l aqua dest. and per  $300 \ \mu$ L isopropanol showed no colouring detectable by unaided eye-assessment. Therefore, NSC equalled 0%.

The test item showed no non-specific reduction of MTT and no relevant colouring potential after mixture with aqua dest. and with isopropanol. Therefore, no additional controls for correction of possible false-negative results were necessary.

The test item showed no irritant effects. The mean relative tissue viability (% negative control) was > 50% (92.8%) after 60 min treatment and 42 h post-incubation.

#### 8.2. Conclusion

In this study under the given conditions the test item showed no irritant effects. The relative mean tissue viability after 60 min of exposure and 42 h post-incubation was > 50%. The test item is therefore classified as "non-irritant" in accordance with UN GHS "No Category".

### 9. Introduction

Acute irritation is a local, reversible inflammatory response of normal living skin to direct injury caused by the application of an irritant substance for up to 4 hours. The potential to induce skin irritation is an important consideration included in procedures for the safe handling, packing and transports of chemicals [15].

Current guidelines include OECD guideline 404 [7] for acute dermal irritation and corrosion of chemicals. This guideline is based on the method described by Draize [9], and generally involves the rabbit as the experimental animal. In order to replace *in vivo* testing on skin irritation validation studies on alternative *in vitro* methods were conducted under the auspices of ECVAM [10], [11], [12], [13]. It was concluded that the modified *in vitro* EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> Skin Irritation Test (EPI-200-SIT) showed evidence of being either a reliable and relevant stand-alone replacement test for *in vivo* skin irritation testing [14] or a partial replacement test with a testing strategy [8]. This test may be used for the hazard identification of irritant chemicals in accordance with UN GHS "Category 2". It does not allow the classification of chemicals to the optional UN GHS "Category 3" (mild irritants). Therefore all remaining substances will not be classified, i.e. UN GHS "No Category" [15], [16], [17]. The endpoint is evaluated by MTT reduction [18].

#### 9.1. Aim of the Study

This *in vitro* method is designed to predict and classify the skin irritation potential of a chemical by assessment of its effect on EpiDerm<sup>TM</sup>, a reconstituted three-dimensional human *epidermis* model. Cytotoxicity is expressed as the reduction of mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity measured by formazan production from MTT after a 60 min exposure period.

#### 9.2. Justification for the Selection of the Test System

This test uses the EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> reconstructed human *epidermis* model (MatTek) which consists of normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK) and therefore represents *in vitro* the target organ of the species of interest and closely mimics the biochemical and physiological properties of the upper parts of the human skin, i.e. the *epidermis*.

### 9.3. Justification for the Selection of the Test Method

This test method is able to detect chemicals that cause skin irritation, i.e. produce reversible damage to the skin and allows for hazard identification in accordance with UN GHS "Category 2". Depending on the regulatory framework it can also be used to identify non-classified chemicals [4].

### 10. Materials and Methods

#### 10.1. Characterisation of the Test Item

The identity of the test item was inspected upon delivery at the test facility (e.g. test item name, batch no. and additional data were compared with the label) based on the following specifications provided by the sponsor.

Name:	PC-BC01
Product:	Peptide
Batch No.:	17-03
Aggregate State at RT:	liquid
Colour:	clear and colorless
Storage Conditions:	< -20°C (avoid repeated freeze-thaw cycles) after thawing: 2 – 8°C
Purity:	95.08%
Expiry Date:	29 June 2019
Safety Precautions:	The routine hygienic procedures were sufficient to assure personnel health and safety.

#### 10.2. Preparation and Application of the Test Item

Prior to treatment, all EpiDerm<sup>TM</sup> tissues were gently blotted to remove moisture. The test item was applied undiluted.  $30 \ \mu\text{L} \ (47 \ \mu\text{L/cm}^2)$  of the test item were dispensed directly atop the EpiDerm<sup>TM</sup> tissue. The test item was gently spread to match size of the tissue using a bulb-headed Pasteur pipette.

#### 10.3. Controls

Controls were set up in parallel to the test item cultures in order to confirm the validity of the test.

#### **Negative Control**

Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline (DPBS; Gibco, Cat. No. 14040-091, Lot No.: 1996962).

#### **Positive Control**

5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (TC-SDS-5%; MatTek, CAS No.: 151-21-3, Lot No.: 022118ISA).

#### 10.4. Dose Groups

1.	Negative control	30 µL DPBS
----	------------------	------------

- 2. Positive control 30 µL 5% SDS solution
- 3. Test Item 30 µL (undiluted)

The test was performed on a total of 3 tissues per dose group.

The test was carried out with the reconstituted three-dimensional human skin model EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> (MatTek). This skin model consists of normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK) which have been cultured to form a multilayered, highly differentiated model of the human *epidermis*. The NHEK are cultured on chemically modified, collagen-coated cell culture inserts (Millicell<sup>®</sup>). The EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> *epidermis* model exhibits *in vivo*-like morphological and growth characteristics which are uniform and highly reproducible. It consists of organised basal, spinous and granular layers and a multi-layered *stratum corneum* analogous to patterns found *in vivo*.

#### 10.6. Provided Materials

The EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> tissues were provided as kits (e.g. EPI-200-SIT, MatTek), consisting of the following components relevant for this study:

- 1x sealed 24-well plate containing e.g. 24 reconstructed *epidermis* units (area: 0.63 cm<sup>2</sup>); each reconstructed *epidermis* is attached to a cell culture insert and maintained on nutritive agar for transport (Lot No.: 28672)
- 2x 24-well plates
- 8x 6-well plates
- 1x bottle of assay medium (DMEM-based medium, Lot No.: 112918MSD)
- 1x bottle of DPBS Rinse Solution (Lot No.: 071018MSA)
- 1x 1 vial 5% SDS Solution (TC-SDS-5%)

25 pieces Nylon Mesh circles (8 mm diameter, 200 µm pore)

#### 10.7. Further Reagents

#### MTT solution

- MTT stock solution: 5 mg/mL MTT (VWR; Lot 0977C002) in PBS (Gibco; Lot No.: 1989155)
- MTT medium: MTT stock solution was diluted 1 + 4 with DMEM-based medium (final concentration 1 mg/mL)

Isopropanol (AppliChem; Lot No.: 0001365249)

#### 10.8. Pre-Experiments

To check the non-specific MTT-reducing capability of the test item 30  $\mu$ L of the test item were mixed per 1 mL MTT medium and incubated for 60 min at 37 ± 1 °C in the incubator.

The mixture did not turn blue/purple. Thus, the additional test with freeze-killed tissues and the quantitative corrections were not necessary.

To check the colouring potential of the test item 30  $\mu$ L of the test item were mixed per 300  $\mu$ L aqua dest. and per 300  $\mu$ L isopropanol each in a transparent recipient and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 60 min.

The mixture showed no colouring detected by unaided eye-assessment. Thus, the additional test with viable tissues and the quantitative corrections were not necessary.

The test item showed no non-specific reduction of MTT and no relevant colouring potential after mixture with aqua dest. and with isopropanol. Therefore, no additional controls for correction of possible false-negative results were necessary.

#### **10.9. Experimental Procedure**

Upon receipt of the EpiDerm<sup>TM</sup>, the tissues were inspected visually and transferred into 6-well plates containing 0.9 mL assay medium per well. The surface was dried using a sterile cotton tip and the plates were incubated in a humidified incubator at  $37 \pm 1$  °C, 5.0% CO<sub>2</sub> for  $60 \pm 5$  min. Subsequently the tissues were transferred into new wells containing 0.9 mL pre-warmed assay medium per well and were incubated for  $18 \pm 3$  h in a humidified incubator at  $37 \pm 1$  °C, 5.0% CO<sub>2</sub>.

After this pre-incubation the tissues were treated with each dose group in triplicate, starting with the negative control. Start time was recorded with dosing of the first tissue and occurred sequentially for the other tissues, e.g. in one-minute intervals. After dosing of all tissues, all plates were transferred to the incubator for  $35 \pm 1$  min. Afterwards all plates were removed from the incubator and placed under the sterile flow for the remaining time until the  $60 \pm 1$  min incubation time of the first dosed tissue was over. Then the tissues were washed by filling and emptying the inserts 15 times with DPBS using a constant stream in about 1.5 cm distance from the tissue surface, this process also occurred sequentially, e.g. in one-minute intervals. Subsequently, the inserts were completely submerged three times in 150 mL DPBS and shaken to remove rests of the test item. Finally, the inserts were rinsed once from the inside and the outside with sterile DPBS. Excess DPBS was removed by blotting the bottom with blotting paper. The inserts were placed in prepared new 6-well plates containing 0.9 mL pre-warmed fresh assay medium per well and the tissue surface was dried using a sterile cotton tip. The plates were post-incubated at  $37 \pm 1$  °C, 5.0% CO<sub>2</sub>, humidified to 95%, for  $24 \pm 2$  h. Following this incubation the tissues were transferred to new wells containing 0.9 mL fresh assay medium and incubated for additional  $18 \pm 2$  h.

After this post-incubation period the bottom of the inserts were blotted on sterile blotting paper and the inserts were transferred in a prepared 24-well plate containing 300  $\mu$ L pre-warmed MTT medium. This plate was incubated for 3 h ± 5 min at 37 ± 1 °C, 5.0% CO<sub>2</sub>, humidified to 95%.

After the MTT incubation period, the tissues were rinsed three times with DPBS and afterwards placed on blotting paper to dry. The tissues were transferred into 12-well plates and immersed in 2 mL isopropanol, sealed to inhibit evaporation. Extraction was carried out protected from light at room temperature for at least 2 h with gentle shaking on a plate shaker.

Before using the extracts, the plate had been shaken for at least 15 min on a plate shaker and the inserts were pierced with an injection needle. The extract was pipetted up and down 3 times before  $2 \times 200 \mu$ L aliquots per each tissue were transferred into a 96-well plate. OD was measured at 570 nm with a filter band pass of maximum  $\pm$  30 nm without reference wavelength in a plate spectrophotometer using isopropanol as a blank.

#### 10.10. Data Analysis

Irritant potential of the test item was predicted from the relative mean tissue viabilities compared to the negative control tissues concurrently treated with DPBS. The test item is considered to be irritant to skin in accordance with regulation EC 1272/2008 (UN GHS "Category 2") [16], [17], if the tissue viability after exposure and post-incubation is less or equal to 50%. Further testing is required to resolve between UN GHS categories 1 and 2 and decide on the final classification of the test substance [8]. The test substance may be considered as non-irritant to skin in accordance with UN GHS "No Category" if the tissue viability after exposure and post-treatment incubation is more than 50%.

#### Table 1: Prediction Model

Mean Tissue Viability (% negative control)	Prediction I / NI
≤ 50 %	Irritant (I): UN GHS "Category 2"
> 50 %	Non-Irritant (NI): UN GHS "No Category"

#### 10.11. Test Acceptance Criteria

The test meets acceptance criteria if:

- mean absolute  $OD_{570 \text{ nm}}$  of the three negative control tissues is  $\geq 0.8$  and  $\leq 2.8$
- mean relative tissue viability of the three positive control tissues is  $\leq 20\%$
- standard deviation (SD) of relative tissue viability obtained from each three concurrently tested tissues is ≤ 18%.

# 11. Deviations from the Study Plan

There was the following deviation from the study plan:

#### Concerning:

Study Director, study plan, p. 2, 6

### Study Plan:

Dr. Christine Groß

#### **Report:**

Dr. Helge Gehrke

#### Reason:

Project handover

This deviation did not influence the quality or integrity of the present study.

### 12. Results and Discussion

#### 12.1. Results

#### 12.1.1. Pre-Experiments

The mixture of 30  $\mu$ L test item per 1 mL MTT medium showed no reduction of MTT compared to the solvent. The mixture did not turn blue/purple. Therefore, NSMTT equalled 0%.

The mixture of 30  $\mu$ L of the test item per 300  $\mu$ l aqua dest. and per 300  $\mu$ L isopropanol showed no colouring detectable by unaided eye-assessment. Therefore, NSC equalled 0%.

The test item showed no non-specific reduction of MTT and no relevant colouring potential after mixture with aqua dest. and with isopropanol. Therefore, no additional controls for correction of possible false-negative results were necessary.

#### 12.1.2. Experiment

Name	Negative Control		Positive Control			Test Item				
Replicate Tissue	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	
Absolute OD	1.441	1.529	1.420	0.284	0.251	0.221	1.268	1.435	1.370	
ADSOIULE OD <sub>570</sub>	1.445	1.546	1.420	0.294	0.260	0.225	1.277	1.444	1.389	
Mean Absolute OD <sub>570</sub>		1.467***	k		0.256			1.364		
OD (Plank Corrected)	1.400	1.488	1.379	0.244	0.210	0.181	1.227	1.394	1.329	
OD <sub>570</sub> (Blank Corrected)	1.404	1.506	1.379	0.254	0.220	0.184	1.236	1.404	1.349	
Mean OD <sub>570</sub> of the Duplicates (Blank Corrected)	1.402	1.497	1.379	0.249	0.215	0.183	1.232	1.399	1.339	
Total Mean OD <sub>570</sub> of the 3 Replicate Tissues (Blank Corrected)	1.426*		0.215		1.323					
SD of Mean OD <sub>570</sub> of the 3 Replicate Tissues (Blank Corrected)	0.062		0.033		0.085					
Relative Tissue Viability [%]	98.3	105.0	96.7	17.4	15.1	12.8	86.4	98.1	93.9	
Mean Relative Tissue Viability [%]	100.0		15.1**		92.8					
SD of Relative Tissue Viability [%]***	4.4		2.3		5.9					
CV [% Viabilities]	4.4		15.3		6.4					

#### Table 2: Result of the Test Item PC-BC01

 $_{**}^{*}$  Blank-corrected mean OD<sub>570</sub> of the negative control corresponds to 100% absolute tissue viability.

Mean relative tissue viability of the three positive control tissues is  $\leq$  20%.

Standard deviation (SD) obtained from the three concurrently tested tissues is  $\leq$  18%.

The mean absolute  $OD_{570}$  of the negative control is  $\geq 0.8$  and  $\leq 2.8$ .

### 12.1.3. Quality Criteria

#### Table 3: Quality Criteria

	Value	Cut off	pass/fail
Mean Absolute OD <sub>570 nm</sub> NC	1.467	0.8 ≤ NC ≤ 2.8	pass
Mean Relative Viability [%] PC	15.1	≤ 20%	pass
SD Viability [%]	2.3 – 5.9	≤ 18%	pass

#### 12.1.4. Historical Data

#### Table 4: Historical Data

	Mean Absolute OD <sub>570±30nm</sub> NC	Mean Relative Viability [%] PC	SD Viability NC, PC, TI [%]
Mean	1.808	3.8	4.1
SD	0.239	1.6	4.1
Range of LCL – UCL	1.330 – 2.287	0.5 – 7.1	0.0 – 12.2
n	47	47	223

LCL: Lower control limit (95%, mean - 2\*SD)

UCL: Upper control limit (95%, mean + 2\*SD)

n: number of control values

Historical data were generated from 2015 to 2018.

#### 12.2. Discussion

The potential of the test item to induce skin irritation was analysed by using the three-dimensional human *epidermis* model EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> (MatTek) comprising a reconstructed epidermis with a functional *stratum corneum*.

In the present study PC-BC01 was applied topically to the EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> tissue for 60 min followed by a 42 h post-incubation period and immediate determination of cytotoxic effects via MTT reduction assay.

Irritant potential of the test item was predicted from the relative mean tissue viabilities obtained compared to the corresponding negative control tissues concurrently treated with DPBS.

The mixture of 30 µL test item per 1 mL MTT medium showed no reduction of MTT compared to the solvent. The mixture did not turn blue/purple. Therefore, NSMTT equalled 0%.

The mixture of  $30 \ \mu$ L of the test item per  $300 \ \mu$ l aqua dest. and per  $300 \ \mu$ L isopropanol showed no colouring detectable by unaided eye-assessment. Therefore, NSC equalled 0%.

The test item showed no non-specific reduction of MTT and no relevant colouring potential after mixture with aqua dest. and with isopropanol. Therefore, no additional controls for correction of possible false-negative results were necessary.

The test item showed no irritant effects. The mean relative tissue viability (% negative control) was > 50% (92.8%) after 60 min treatment and 42 h post-incubation.

The controls confirmed the validity of the study. The mean absolute  $OD_{570}$  of the three negative control tissues was  $\geq 0.8$  and  $\leq 2.8$  (1.467). The mean relative tissue viability (% negative control) of the positive control was  $\leq 20\%$  (15.1%). Standard deviation of viability of replicate tissues of all dose groups was  $\leq 18\%$  (2.3% - 5.9%).

## 13. Conclusion

In this study under the given conditions the test item showed no irritant effects. The test item is therefore classified as "non-irritant" in accordance with UN GHS "No Category".

# 14. Distribution of the Report

1	original	(paper):
---	----------	----------

- 1 copy (paper):
- 1 copy (electronic):

Sponsor Eurofins Munich Sponsor

### 15. References

#### 15.1. Guidelines

- [1] Chemikaliengesetz ("Chemicals Act") of the Federal Republic of Germany, Appendix 1 to § 19a as amended and promulgated on July 18, 2017 (BGBI. I S. 2774)
- [2] Konsens-Dokument der Bund-Länder-Arbeitsgruppe Gute Laborpraxis ("Consensus Document of the National and Länder Working Party on Good Laboratory Practice") on the archiving and storage of records and materials, 5 May 1998
- [3] OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice (as revised in 1997); OECD Environmental Health and Safety Publications; Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring - Number 1. Environment Directorate, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris 1998
- [4] OECD (2015). OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals No. 439: In Vitro Skin Irritation: Reconstructed Human Epidermis Test Method. 28 July 2015
- [5] Commission Regulation (EC) No. 640/2012 of 06 July 2012 amending, for the purpose of its adaption to technical progress, Regulation (EC) No. 440/2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No. 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), L 193, Part B.46. "In Vitro Skin Irritation: Reconstructed Human Epidermis Test Method"
- [6] MatTek Corporation Protocol: In Vitro EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> Skin Irritation Test (EPI-200-SIT). For use with MatTek Corporation's Reconstructed Human Epidermal Model EpiDerm (EPI-200-SIT); Version 07 November 2014

#### 15.2. Literature

- [7] OECD (2015). OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals No. 404: Acute Dermal Irritation/Corrosion. 28 July, 2015
- [8] OECD (2014). Guidance Document on Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment for Skin Irritation/Corrosion, No. 203, Series on Testing and Assessment. 11 July 2014
- [9] Draize J.H., Woodard G. & Calvery H.O. (1944). Methods for the study of irritation and toxicity of substances applied topically to the skin and mucous membranes. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*. 82, pp 337-390
- [10] Fentem J.H., Briggs D., Chesné C, Elliot G.R., Harbell J.W., Heylings J.R., Portes P., Roguet R., van de Sandt J.J.M. and Botham P. (2001). A prevalidation study on *in vitro* tests for acute skin irritation. Results and evaluation by the Management Team. *Toxicol. in Vitro* 15, 57-93
- [11] Faller C., Bracher M., Dami N. and Roguet R. (2002) Predictive ability of reconstructed human epidermis equivalents for assessment of skin irritation of cosmetics. *Toxicol. in vitro* 16, 557-572
- [12] Cotovio J., Grandidier M.-H., Portes P., Roguet R. and Rubinstenn G. (2005). The *in vitro* acute skin irritation of chemicals: optimization of the EpiSkin prediction model within the framework of the ECVAM validation process. *ATLA* **33**, 329-349
- [13] Zuang V., Balls M., Botham P.A., Coquettte A., Corsini E., Curren R.D., Elliot G.R., Fentem J.H., Heylings J.R., Liebsch M., Medina J., Roguet R., van de Sandt J.J.M., Wiemann C., Worth A.P. (2002) Follow-up to the ECVAM prevalidation study on *in vitro* tests for acute skin irritation. ECVAM Skin irritation Task Force Report 2. *ATLA* 30, 109-1029
- [14] EURL-ECVAM (2008). Statement on the scientific validity of *in vitro* tests for skin irritation testing, issued by the ECVAM Scientific Advisory Committee (ESAC29), 5 November 2008
- [15] UN (2015). United Nations Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), sixth revised edition, UN New York and Geneva.
- [16] EURL-ECVAM (2009). Statement on the "Performance under UN GHS of three in vitro assays for skin irritation testing and the adaption of the Reference Chemicals and Defined Accuracy Values of the ECVAM skin irritation Performance Standards", issued by the ECVAM Scientific Advisory Committee (ESAC30), 9 April 2009
- [17] EC (2008). Regulation (EC) No. 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No. 1907/2006. Official Journal of the European Union L353, 1-1355
- [18] Mosmann, T. (1983). Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J. Immunol. Meth. 65: 5563.

#### 15.3. Internal Eurofins Munich SOPs

Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), No. 9-4-5

#### 16. Appendix

#### 16.1. Appendix 1: EpiDerm<sup>™</sup> Skin Tissue: Certificate of Analysis



II. Analysis for potential biological contaminants The cells used to produce EpiDerm™ tissue are screened for potential biological contaminants. Tests for each potential biological contaminant listed below were performed according to the test method given. Results of "Not detected" indicate that testing for the potential biological contaminant was not observed as determined by the stated test method.

HIV-1 virus – Oligonucleotide-directed amplification	
Hepatitis B virus - Oligonucleotide- directed amplification	
Hepatitis C virus - Oligonucleotide- directed amplification	
Bacteria, yeast, and other fungi - long term antibiotic, antimycotic free culture	

#### III. Analysis for tissue functionality and quality

Test	Specification	Acceptance criteria	Result and QA Statement	
Tissue viability	MTT QC assay, 4 hours, n=3	OD (540-570 nm) [1.0-3.0]	1.739 ± 0.084	Pass
Barrier function	ET-50 assay, 100 µL 1% Triton X-100, 4 time-points, n=3, MTT assay	ET-50 [4.77-8.72 hrs]	4.85 hrs	Pass
Sterility	Long term antibiotic and antimycotic free culture	No contamination	Sterile	Pass

Tissue viability and the barrier function test are within the acceptable ranges and indicate appropriate formation of the epidermal barrier, the presence of a functional stratum corneum, a viable basal cell layer, and intermediate spinous and granular layers. Results obtained with this lot conform to the requirements of the OECD TG 431 and 439.

**Nelson Rivas** Quality Assurance Associate

December 5, 2018

Date

CAUTION: Whereas all information herein is believed to be correct, no absolute guarantee that human derived material is non-infectious can be made or is implied by this certificate of analysis. All tissues should be treated as potential pathogens. The use of protective clothing and eyeware and appropriate disposal procedures are strongly recommended.

MatTek In Vitro Life Science Laboratories Mlynské Nivy 73, Bratislava - Slovakia +421-2-3260-7401

QC-10-012-0075 Rev. C

www.mattek.com information@mattek.com

Not detected Not detected Not detected Not detected

Initials: Date:

Page 1 of 1

### 16.2. Appendix 2: Certificate of Analysis

#### ProCell Therapeutics, Inc.

1009 Ace-Twin Tower II, 212-30 Guro 3-Dong, Guro-gu, Seoul, Korea

### Certificate of Analysis

Material information		
Material code :	PC-BC01	
Lot No. :	17-03	
Source	E, coli	
Manuf. Date:	2017.06.30	
Expiration date:	2019.06.29	
Formulation:	1X DPBS (Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline), 20 % glycerol, pH 7.4	
Storage:	$<$ -20 °C (Avoid repeated freeze-thaw cycles, After thawing : 2 $\sim$ 8 °C )	

#### Quality control

ltems	Specifications	Results
Арреагалсе	Clear and colourless solution	conformed
рH	pH 7.2~7.6	pH 7.38
SDS-PAGE (reduced form)	About 53 kDa single band	conformed
Purity (SDS-PAGE)	≥ 90 %	95.08%
Protein concentration	20 µg/mL ± 2	20.1 µg/mL
Biological Activity (EC50)	< 0.2 µg/mL	0.16 µg/mL

qw Certified by 2018.06.05 Date

HeeJe Shin

ProCell R&D Center

Procell Therapeutics, Inc. www.procellrx.co.kr, T:82-02-6675-7220, F:82-02-6675-7202